UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,471	04/20/2004	Shunichiro Nonaka	2091-0317PUS1 2988	
2292 RIPCH STEW	7590 02/05/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		WERNER, DAVID N		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

. ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/827,471	NONAKA, SHUNICHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	David N. Werner	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
Period for Reply	•	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed on the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	November 2007.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	•—				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	l53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-8,10 and 12-20</u> is		٦.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9 and 11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 20 April 2004 is/are:		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		tion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri					
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receiv	red.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is the First Action on the Merits for US Patent Application 10/827,471, which claims foreign priority from Japanese Patent Application 2003-140246, filed 19 May 2003, and Japanese Patent Application 2003-152708, filed 29 May 2003. Currently, claims 1-20 are pending. Of those, claims 6-8, 10, and 12-20 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Claims 6-8, 10, and 12-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 28 November 2007.
- 3. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I: claims 1-5, 9, and 11 in the reply filed on 28 November 2007 is acknowledged.

Priority -

4. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

10/827,471 Art Unit: 2621

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette Notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material". In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions". See *The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993).*) "Nonfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works, and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and *In re Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d at 136—61, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with *Warmerdam*, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure *per se* held non-statutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See *Lowry*, 32 F.3d at 1583-1584, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claim 11 defines a "program" embodying functional descriptive material. However, the claim does not define a computer-readable medium or memory and is thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). That is, the scope of the presently claimed computer program can range from paper on

10/827,471 Art Unit: 2621

which the program is written, to a program simply contemplated and memorized by a person.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1-5, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by US Patent 7,143,432 B1 (Brooks et al.). Brooks et al. teaches a video transcoder that produces a reduced bit-rate version of a plurality of video frames. Regarding claim 1, a first embodiment of Brooks et al. includes a "frame reducing circuit" that reduces a number of video frames according to a desired output frame rate (column 3: lines 23-27). This corresponds with the claimed "sampling means". This embodiment also includes an encoder that encodes a plurality of video frames to a desired output video stream format (column 3: lines 36-39). This corresponds with the claimed "multimedia image generation means". The output streams may be in the MPEG1, MPEG2, MPEG4, Microsoft Windows avi format, Apple QuickTime mov format, or RealNetworks rm format (column 6: lines 13-19), all of which were known at the time of the present invention to use coding that takes advantage of spatial

10/827,471 Art Unit: 2621

redundancy within a single image, such as the Discrete Cosine Transform or the Wavelet transform.

Regarding claim 2, as shown in figure 1 of Brooks et al., a plurality of video output formats are produced, according to the available bandwidth, processing, and display capabilities of the client devices (column 6: lines 27-31).

Regarding claim 3, in Brooks et al., a resolution modification circuit modifies resolution of the output video frames (column 3: lines 15-23). This corresponds with the claimed "size change means". As previously mentioned, Brooks et al. includes an encoder that encodes the video to be output in a desired format (column 3: lines 36-39). This corresponds with the claimed "frame compression means" and "format compilation means".

Regarding claim 4, in Brooks et al., a depth reduction circuit is configured to reduce the bit rate of the output video (column 3: lines 27-31).

Regarding claim 5, the output devices of Brooks et al. include a variety of portable or mobile devices, including PDAs and cellular phones (column 5: lines 39-52).

Regarding claim 9, figure 6 of Brooks et al. illustrates the method performed, including frame rate comparison step 870 and rate changing steps 880 and 890 (column 18: lines 19-51), corresponding with the claimed step of "sampling frames", as well as encoding format decision step 930 and encoding step 940 (column 19: lines 41-55), corresponding with the claimed step of "generating a multimedia image".

Regarding claim 11, the encoders of Brooks et al. may be implemented by software routines (column 19: lines 56-58).

10/827,471 Art Unit: 2621

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patent Application Publication 2002.0159528 A1 (Graziani et al.) teaches an MPEG transcoder that may change the resolution and bitrate of an input bitstream.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David N. Werner whose telephone number is (571) 272-9662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

10/827,471 Art Unit: 2621 Page 7

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DNW

MEHRDAD DASTOURI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC 2600